## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	A	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/665,704	09/19/2003	Joseph J. Bella		14000	6017
PAUL F. DON	7590 01/26/2007 OVAN		EXAMINER		
ILLINOIS TOOL WORKS INC.				LUGO, CARLOS	
3600 WEST L. GLENVEIW, I	AKE AVENUE L 60025			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				3'676	
			/ [	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				01/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/665,704	BELLA ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Carlos Lugo	3676

·	Canos Lugo	1 3070	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 16 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. A The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply r	iffidavit, or other evider a compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TI	•	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amour shortened statutory period for reply or than three months after the mailing of	nt of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of se appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	•		ecause
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further colling.</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below).</li> </ul>		OTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet		reducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally r	eiected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	in the second se		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	21. See attached Notice of Non-C	Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:	•	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate	e, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		will be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,4,8-10,12 and 14-20</u> .		•	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	·		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. $\square$ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ned.
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:		01/	-
		1/6	
		C / NU	3676

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments presented by the applicant are not persuasive, therefore, the rejection is maintained.